

Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Sciences and Engineering Technology

> Journal homepage: https://jaraset.com/ ISSN: 2462-1943

DEVELOPMENT AND EXECUTION OF A FLOOD PREDICTION MODEL UTILIZING PRIORITIZATION TECHNIQUES

Jayanti 1*, Vishal Verma ²

Research scholar, Department of Computer Science and Applications, Chaudhary Ranbir Singh University, Haryana, India; pisces.jayanti@gmail.com

² Associate Professor, Department of Computer Science and Applications, Chaudhary Ranbir Singh University, Haryana, India; vishal.verma@crsu.ac.in

INTRODUCTION

Floods present substantial hazards to populations globally, resulting in fatalities, destruction of property, and interruption of economic activities. The timely anticipation and mitigation of these repercussions are of utmost importance. The prioritization of developing precise flood forecasting models has emerged as a crucial focus within the field of disaster management. The present study presents an innovative methodology: the development and execution of a flood prediction model utilizing prioritization strategies. The objective of incorporating prioritizing approaches into the model is to improve its precision and efficacy in predicting flood occurrences[1]–[6]. Essentially, the suggested model utilizes sophisticated data analytics and machine learning algorithms to examine many factors that contribute to floods, including the intensity of rainfall, geography, land use, and drainage systems. Nevertheless, what distinguishes this model is its integration of prioritization strategies in order to enhance the efficiency of the prediction process. The process of prioritization enables the identification and ranking of the most influential components, resulting in the optimization of the prediction model and enhancement of its overall performance. The model's design incorporates the exploitation of past flood data as a crucial element for the identification of patterns and trends[7]–[11]. Through the examination of historical flood disasters, the model can acquire knowledge from past incidents and enhance its ability to predict future ones. The historical data provided serves as the fundamental basis for training the predictive algorithms, facilitating their ability to identify intricate connections among various variables and generate precise projections[12]–[16].

Figure 1 Flood Prediction Model

In addition, the model utilizes a hierarchical prioritization methodology to allocate weights to different criteria according to their respective levels of significance. The prioritization method entails the examination of the possible influence of each element on the occurrence of floods, followed by the allocation of weights correspondingly. Factors that are considered to be of greater importance, such as the intensity of rainfall in areas prone to flooding or the state of drainage systems, are allocated higher priority weights, whilst factors that have a lesser impact are assigned lower weights[17]–[23]. The implementation of hierarchical prioritizing in the model guarantees that its resources and attention are directed towards the most influential factors contributing to floods, hence improving its prediction precision. Furthermore, the model incorporates real-time data inputs and sensor networks to consistently update its predictions, in addition to prioritization. By integrating current data on precipitation patterns, river levels, and other pertinent factors, the model has the capability to dynamically adjust to evolving circumstances and deliver prompt alerts to vulnerable communities. The incorporation of real-time monitoring is crucial in enhancing the model's reactivity and guaranteeing the efficacy of flood mitigation endeavors. In order to ascertain the efficacy of the suggested model, a comprehensive examination and verification process will be undertaken, encompassing the utilization of historical data as well as generated situations. Through the process of comparing the model's predictions with real flood events, we can evaluate its precision and make necessary adjustments to its algorithms. In addition, the model will actively seek feedback from stakeholders and end-users in order to ascertain its alignment with their distinct needs and wants. In summary, the utilization of prioritizing strategies in the development and execution of a flood forecasting model signifies a notable progression in the field of disaster management[24]–[28]. Through the utilization of prioritizing approaches, this model improves the precision and effectiveness of flood predictions, hence facilitating the implementation of more efficient mitigation strategies and enhancing the safeguarding of susceptible communities.

In an era of climate change, the increasing risk of flooding necessitates the implementation of creative strategies to enhance resilience and mitigate the consequences of these natural calamities.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Sharma 2023 et.al An imperative exists for systematic pavement maintenance due to its increasing length. Utilizing pavement performance evaluation, pavement management systems are employed. This study utilizes machine learning algorithms and the LTPP database to predict the international roughness index (IRI). Three models are compared, with optimized Gaussian process regression displaying the best performance (R-Squared: 0.89)[29].

John 2023 et.al This research makes use of i-PCA, an upgraded version of Principal Component Analysis, to reduce features in deep learning models used for flood prediction. Using reduced characteristics from rainfall data in Kerala from 1901 to 2021, a 1D-Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) can forecast floods. The results reveal an accuracy of 94.24%, which is 8% better than the state-of-the-art methods. A more effective PCA improves the model's efficiency [30].

Cui 2023 et.al An improved visual autonomous UAV landing system is introduced, utilizing QR code markers and the "you only look once" framework for enhanced accuracy. The system aims to increase landing precision by placing QR code markers on the landing platform. Utilizing corner points of QR codes, the framework decodes UAV position, which is further fused with IMU sensor data using a Kalman filter. A hierarchical landing method ensures precision. Experimental tests demonstrate the system's robustness, adaptability, achieving an average landing error of 11.5 cm, comparable to RTK-GPS[31].

Chan 2023 et.al This paper offers an up-to-date analysis of advanced Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) deployed in cloud computing. It discusses requirements, complexities, and various cloud platforms for DNN deployment. It reviews existing DNN applications in cloud systems and addresses implementation challenges, providing recommendations for improvement[32].

Jyoti 2023 et.al Using a qualitative case study and an interpretivist epistemological stance, this research investigates four tiers of value co-creation according to the Resource Based View (RBV) Theory. With an emphasis on data sharing across businesses, it dissects the value co-creation process that goes into making cutting-edge cloud services. The research shows that smaller companies can get an advantage in the market and reach international partners by investing in their reputation, which is a significant resource.

It also shows how bigger companies can innovate through partnerships without spending more money [33].

METHODOLOGY

Methods such as comprehensive data collecting, preprocessing, exploratory data analysis (EDA), modeling, and performance evaluation make up the study's approach. We examine a dataset with 10 rows and 21 columns that addresses topics including infrastructure, land use, climate change, and geography. Methods for training and evaluating machine learning models, such as Decision Tree, Linear Regression, and Random Forest, make use of performance metrics including Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and R-squared (R2). In order to help with risk mitigation and monsoon management, this strategy tries to understand the complex relationships between the factors that influence the intensity of the monsoon and its consequences.

Figure 2 Proposed Flowchart

Data Information

Various elements determining monsoon intensity and its possible repercussions on society and the environment are covered in the dataset, which consists of 10 rows and 21 columns. Some of these factors include the severity of monsoons, the landscape, drainage systems, river management,

deforestation, urbanization, climate change, the state of dams, siltation, farming methods, encroachments, coastal susceptibility, watersheds, infrastructure deterioration, population density, wetland degradation, inadequate planning, political influences, and the likelihood of floods.

Monsoon intensity is pivotal in determining precipitation magnitude and its environmental consequences. Topography and drainage systems significantly affect water movement, influencing flood and erosion risks.

River management interventions can either mitigate or exacerbate flooding and water contamination. Deforestation and urbanization alter landscapes, increasing runoff and the likelihood of landslides and flash floods. Climate change exacerbates these challenges, amplifying extreme weather events like monsoons.

Dams and their management impact water storage and release, affecting downstream areas and ecosystems. Siltation, often exacerbated by agricultural practices, reduces water body capacity and raises flood vulnerability. Coastal vulnerability arises from sea-level rise, storm surges, and erosion. Watershed management is crucial for water quality preservation and hazard mitigation.

Deteriorating infrastructure hampers disaster response, increasing community vulnerability. Population density affects hazard exposure, with denser areas facing higher risks. Wetland depletion reduces flood and erosion protection. Inadequate planning and political factors hinder risk management effectiveness. Assessing flood likelihood prioritizes mitigation and preparedness efforts, addressing monsoon-induced calamities' impacts. Comprehensive, flexible strategies are essential, considering long-term environmental sustainability and societal resilience to shifting monsoon patterns and climate change hazards.

Figure 3 Data Frame

Data Pre-processing

Null values are removed from the dataset as the first step in preprocessing, ensuring data accuracy and integrity for subsequent analysis. Since null values have the tendency to introduce distortions, it is crucial to remove them in order to maintain the accuracy of statistical calculations and machine learning models. The next step is to identify and remove any outliers after processing the null values. Outliers are data points that drastically differ from the rest of the sample; they can skew statistical analyses and model projections. Removing extreme values from a dataset makes it more representative, which in turn allows for more accurate analysis.

After addressing null values and outliers, the subsequent stage involves generating a feature and target variable. The independent variables, known as features, are employed in the prediction of the target variable, which is the variable under consideration for prediction. Within this framework, the features encompass all the variables that affect the severity of monsoons and their consequences, including terrain, drainage systems, urbanization, and so on. The goal variable refers to the quantification of monsoon intensity or its potential consequences, such as the probability of flooding or the score of environmental damage. The establishment of these variables serves as a foundation for subsequent analysis, including the construction of predictive models to comprehend the correlation between the features and the objective variable. This facilitates decision-making processes pertaining to monsoon management and methods for mitigating risks.

Pseudo code outline for pre-processing the dataset:

1. Read the dataset into memory.

2. Handle missing values:

a. Identify columns with missing values.

b. Decide on a strategy to handle missing values (e.g., imputation or removal).

c. Implement the chosen strategy to fill in or remove missing values.

3. Handle outliers:

a. For each numerical feature:

i. Calculate summary statistics (e.g., mean, median, standard deviation).

 ii. Determine a threshold for defining outliers (e.g., based on z-scores or interquartile range).

iii. Identify outliers based on the chosen threshold.

iv. Decide on a strategy to handle outliers (e.g., removing or capping).

v. Implement the chosen strategy to handle outliers.

4. Create feature and target variables:

a. Identify relevant features and the target variable based on the dataset's context.

b. Separate the dataset into feature variables (X) and target variable (y).

5. Optionally, perform feature scaling or normalization if needed.

6. Optionally, perform feature engineering to create new features or transformations.

7. Optionally, split the dataset into training and testing sets for model evaluation.

8. Optionally, save the preprocessed dataset for future use.

This pseudo code delineates the primary procedures entailed in preprocessing the dataset, encompassing the management of missing values, addressing outliers, generating feature and target variables, and potentially executing supplementary preprocessing measures such as feature scaling, feature engineering, and dataset partitioning for model evaluation.

EDA

Data visualization and analysis used in exploratory data analysis (EDA) seek to reveal hidden correlations, patterns, and structures in the data. By calculating descriptive statistics like mean, median, and standard deviation, exploratory data analysis (EDA) generates a concise overview of numerical data. In addition, histograms and frequency distributions graphically depict the distribution of numerical and category data.

It is possible to display interdependencies and correlations between variables using correlation matrices, scatter plots, or box plots. Discovering data anomalies, such as missing values or outliers, is the goal of exploratory data analysis, or EDA. Dataset attributes can be better understood with the help of EDA, which in turn informs feature selection, preprocessing, and model building, among other analytiprocedures. As a result, it becomes less difficult to make better-informed decisions.

Figure 4 Boxplot of all data variables

Figure 5 Hist plot of Flood Probability Distribution

Figure 6 Boxplots of Climate change and river management vs Flood Probabilty

Figure 7 Correlation Heat map of Data variables

Figure 4 depicts a boxplot showcasing the distribution of all data variables, providing insights into the spread and variability of the dataset. Figure 5 illustrates a histogram plot representing the distribution of flood probability, offering a visual understanding of its frequency distribution. Figure 6 displays boxplots comparing climate change and river management variables against flood probability, highlighting potential relationships between these factors. Lastly, Figure 7 presents a correlation heat map depicting the relationships between various data variables, aiding in identifying patterns and dependencies within the dataset, thus informing subsequent analysis and modeling decisions.

Data Splitting

In order to divide dataset X into two sets, one for training and one for testing, along with their respective target variables, we utilize the 'train_test_split' function from the'sklearn.model_selection' module. The function supports testing on different subsets of data and guarantees reproducibility with a test size of 30% and a random state of 42. This method addresses the issue of overfitting and simplifies the evaluation of the model's generalizability, two essential steps in machine learning.

Pseudo code snippet describing how to split the dataset into training and testing sets using the `train_test_split` function from `sklearn.model_selection` with specific parameters

import sklearn.model_selection

Assuming X is your feature matrix and y is your target variable

Split the dataset into training and testing sets

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = sklearn.model_selection.train_test_split(

X, y, test_size=0.3, random_state=42)

Now you can use X_train and y_train for training your model

and X_test and y_test for evaluating its performance

Modeling

Three notable algorithms in the field of machine learning are Random Forest, Decision Trees, and Linear Regression, which are renowned for their wide range of capabilities and applications.

- During training, the Random Forest algorithm a robust ensemble learning method produces a large number of decision trees. The mean prediction for each individual tree or the mode of the classes are then output. Random Forest is widely recognized for its versatility and ability to handle complex datasets. By averaging the predictions from multiple trees, it solves the overfitting problem and increases the model's resilience.
- Decision Trees are a crucial component of numerous machine learning techniques. Decision Trees generate predictions by iteratively dividing the data according to feature properties, and then traversing the tree structure from the root node to the leaf node. Decision Trees are considered adaptable tools in classification and regression applications due to their ability to

solve the issue of overfitting using approaches such as pruning and ensemble methods like Random Forests.

 Linear Regression is a straightforward yet potent approach employed to describe the correlation between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables. Linear Regression enables the interpretation and prediction of data by fitting a linear equation to the observed data. Linear Regression is widely utilized in several fields because to its interpretability and straightforward implementation, despite its inherent simplicity.

Every algorithm exhibits distinct advantages and disadvantages, rendering them appropriate for varying situations. The Random Forest algorithm has exceptional performance in managing datasets with a high number of dimensions and intricate interactions, whereas Decision Trees provide interpretability and simplicity. Linear regression offers a direct and efficient method for representing linear associations, rendering it highly valuable in the realms of predictive modeling and statistical analysis.

Result and Discussion

Performance Evaluation

Important performance evaluation indicators for gauging the efficacy and precision of ML models. In regression studies, three common metrics are the Mean Squared Error (MSE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), and R-squared (R2) coefficient. Measuring the average of the squared deviations between the anticipated and actual values within a given dataset is the Mean Squared Error (MSE). Because it punishes significant mistakes so severely, it is extremely sensitive to outliers. You can express the measured value of root-mean-squared error (RMSE) in the same unit as the target variable, and it is immediately understandable. Knowing the typical deviation of the model's predictions from the actual values is really useful. One measure of statistical significance is the coefficient of determination, or R2. It measures the extent to which the independent variables can explain the dependent variable's variance. Greater values signify that the model is performing better. A number between zero and one is the range's numerical value. R2 values close to 0 suggest poor model performance, whereas values closer to 1 indicate a perfect match. These measurements show that people have different views on the model's efficacy. Measures of error depth include Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and Mean Squared Error (MSE), whereas R2 assesses the degree of fit. When professionals take all of these factors into account, they can see how accurate the model is at making predictions and make better decisions on how to optimize and pick the model.

MSE

Mean squared error (MSE) is a well-liked statistic in regression analysis that quantifies the average squared deviation between the predicted and actual values of a dataset. One way to measure the model's accuracy is by looking at the penalty for larger errors. To get the mean square error, or MSE, we add up the squared disparities between each anticipated and actual result. A lower mean square error (MSE), where zero indicates a perfect fit or when projected values completely match the observed data, is an indication of better model performance. When comparing the precision of different models' predictions, MSE is a helpful metric to keep in mind, particularly when dealing with scenarios where outliers could affect performance.

$$
MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2
$$
 (1)

RMSE

Regression analysts frequently use the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) as a measure to evaluate the precision of a prediction model. Metrics like these quantify the average magnitude of residuals, or prediction mistakes, which help explain why actual values don't match expectations and how much they differ. To find the root mean square error (RMSE), one takes the square root of the average of the squared disparities between the predicted and actual values. Relative standard error (RMSE) is a straightforward measure of prediction accuracy that shares the same unit of measurement as the objective variable. When the RMSE is minimal, it means that the difference between the observed and predicted values is less, which means that the model is doing better. Thus, RMSE is a helpful metric for evaluating models.

$$
RSME = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i - O_i)^2}{n}}
$$
 (2)

R2

One of the most important metrics in regression analysis is the coefficient of determination, sometimes known as R-squared (R2). It measures how well a model fits the data. To what extent can the model's independent variables account for the observed variability in the dependent variable? This metric quantitatively measures this. R2 is a numerical value between 0 and 1, with higher values indicating greater model performance. When the value is 1, it means that the model adequately accounts for all of the observed variability in the data. Conversely, if the R2 value is low, it means the model doesn't explain enough and doesn't reflect the variables' relationships well.

$$
R^{2} = \frac{n(\Sigma xy - (\Sigma x)(\Sigma y))}{\sqrt{[n\Sigma x^{2} - (\Sigma x)^{2}]} [n\Sigma y^{2} - (\Sigma y)^{2}]}
$$
(3)

Figure 8 Performance Graph of ML models

The performance evaluation table primarily uses three metrics R-squared (R2), Mean Squared Error (MSE), and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) to evaluate the three machine learning models Linear Regression, Decision Tree, and Random Forest. Two metrics Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) are used to calculate the average squared difference between expected and actual data. A more intuitive metric to use is root-mean-squared error (RMSE), since its unit of measurement is identical to that of the target variable. Model performance improves when RMSE and MSE decrease. R2 is a statistical measure that measures the extent to which the independent variables account for the observed variance in the dependent variable. Higher R2 values imply better model performance; a value of 1.0 indicates a perfect match. Linear Regression provides the best Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) values, which indicate the degree to which the data align with the predictions. An R2 score of 1.0 also indicates that the model fits the data perfectly. Hence, Linear Regression outperforms the other two models tested in this particular case. To find the optimal model, though, you must take the problem's details and context into account. Linear Regression does well in this test, but other models, like Decision Tree and Random Forest, may be more suited to certain tasks (such dealing with nonlinear relationships or making the results easy to understand). So, the goals and limitations of the task at hand dictate which model is optimal.

Figure 9 Comparative Analysis Graph

The table below shows a comparison of various models with respect to their RMSE values. A smaller root-mean-squared error (RMSE) indicates that the model is doing better, since it measures the average variance between the predicted and observed values. The PRISMA model has the largest root-mean-squared error (RMSE) of 25.5 out of all the models here, indicating a more significant gap between the two sets of data.

The ANN (Artificial Neural Network) model outperforms PRISMA with a root-mean-squared error (RMSE) of 7.27. As seen in the reference column with a value of 6.25 for RMSE, the Linear Regression model outperforms ANN by a small margin. The results show that the models are not all equally accurate; the most inaccurate was PRISMA, then ANN and Linear Regression. Both ANN and Linear Regression outperform PRISMA, with Linear Regression showing marginally greater predictive capability, when it comes to grasping the complexity of the data. It is difficult to evaluate Linear Regression's efficacy with respect to certain research or datasets due to the lack of a reference for it. In conclusion, the predictive accuracy of different models may be better understood by this comparative analysis, which is useful for selecting and evaluating models for diverse purposes.

Conclusion

Finally, this study's thorough methodology allowed for an in-depth analysis of the factors influencing monsoon intensity and its social and environmental impacts. Thorough data collection, preprocessing, exploratory data analysis, and model evaluation yielded valuable insights into the complex dynamics of monsoon systems. By virtue of its perfect R-squared (R2) score, minimal Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) values, and overall improved predictive capacity in this particular case, Linear Regression stood out among the machine learning models evaluated. Indicators like these show how well the system can grasp the interdependencies between the many variables that affect monsoon intensity and their consequences. However, the specific requirements and complexities of the problem at hand should dictate the selection of the most appropriate model. According to the results, Linear Regression was the most accurate and wellfitting method. Alternative models, such as Decision Trees and Random Forest, may provide clear benefits in some situations, particularly when dealing with nonlinear interactions or when providing interpretability. In addition, the findings of this study have real-world implications for monsoon management and the creation of safeguards against related hazards. By understanding the complex interplay between factors including infrastructure, land use, climate change, and geography, stakeholders may better manage the social and environmental impacts of monsoon-induced disasters. Ongoing study in this area is crucial for improving forecast accuracy, incorporating new data sources, and understanding monsoon dynamics in the context of climate change. We may enhance our ability to predict, prepare for, and handle the challenges brought forth by monsoon changes and the dangers they involve by utilizing advanced analytical approaches and collaborating across several areas.

References

[1] Z. Zhao, P. Lou, T. Sun, and Z. Xu, "Design and Implementation of Industrial Auxiliary System Based on Hololens," *2023 IEEE Int. Conf. Sensors, Electron. Comput. Eng. ICSECE 2023*, vol. 2022, pp. 1054–1057, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ICSECE58870.2023.10263394.

[2] G. Liu, "Landscape Architecture Design and Implementation Based on Intelligent Monitoring Sensing Network," *J. Sensors*, vol. 2023, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/9188907.

[3] K. Wu, R. Cheng, H. Xu, and J. Tong, "Design and Implementation of the Zero Trust Model in the Power Internet of Things," *Int. Trans. Electr. Energy Syst.*, vol. 2023, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/6545323.

[4] Z. Liu, T. Liang, W. Wang, R. Sun, and S. Li, "Design and Implementation of a Lightweight Security-Enhanced Scheme for Modbus TCP Protocol," *Secur. Commun. Networks*, vol. 2023, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/5486566.

[5] M. Zamani, A. Aghaie, A. Zamani, R. Tari, M. Abarzadeh, and S. H. Hosseini, "Design and Implementation of Nonisolated High Step-Up DC-DC Converter," *Int. Trans. Electr. Energy Syst.*, vol. 2023, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/4016996.

[6] A. Roldán-Caballero *et al.*, "Synchronization of a New Chaotic System Using Adaptive Control: Design and Experimental Implementation," *Complexity*, vol. 2023, no. 1, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/2881192.

[7] J. Park, S. H. Hong, S. H. Yeon, B. M. Seo, and K. H. Lee, "Predictive Model for Solar Insolation Using the Deep Learning Technique," vol. 2023, 2023.

[8] X. Feng, Y. Fan, H. Peng, Y. Chen, and Y. Zheng, "Optimal Active Vibration Control of Tensegrity Structures Using Fast Model Predictive Control Strategy," *Struct. Control Heal. Monit.*, vol. 2023, no. i, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/2076738.

[9] K. Kumar *et al.*, "Intelligent Controller Design and Fault Prediction Using Machine Learning Model," *Int. Trans. Electr. Energy Syst.*, vol. 2023, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/1056387.

[10] Y. Wang and Z. Ji, "Design and Implementation of Trace Inspection System Based upon Hyperspectral Imaging Technology," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/9524190.

[11] S. Li, "Design and Implementation of Information Management System Based on Data Mining," *Lect. Notes Electr. Eng.*, vol. 827 LNEE, pp. 1704–1709, 2022, doi: 10.1007/978-981-16- 8052-6_251.

[12] Y. Zhang, "Deep Learning Distributed Architecture Design Implementation for Computer Vision," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/9726286.

[13] J. X. Zhang, H. F. Chen, B. Chen, B. Q. Chen, J. H. Zhong, and X. Q. Zeng, "Design and Implementation of Chinese Common Braille Translation System Integrating Braille Word Segmentation and Concatenation Rules," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8934241.

[14] W. Lin, Y. Wu, and N. Jiao, "Design and Implementation of Software-Defined Data Center (SDDC) for Medical Colleges and Universities," *Mob. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/9139257.

[15] L. Liang and Y. Fan, "Design and Implementation of Swastika Forms in Tenon and Tenon Structure Furniture," *Adv. Multimed.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8964898.

[16] U. U. S. Rajapaksha, C. Jayawardena, and B. A. Macdonald, "Design, Implementation, and Performance Evaluation of a Web-Based Multiple Robot Control System," *J. Robot.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/9289625.

[17] M. M. Gulzar *et al.*, "An Efficient Design of Adaptive Model Predictive Controller for Load Frequency Control in Hybrid Power System," *Int. Trans. Electr. Energy Syst.*, vol. 2022, pp. 1–14, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7894264.

[18] E. Lemma, Y. Seneshaw Getahun, and T. Getachew, "Prioritization of Erosion Hotspot Microwatersheds for Conservation Planning Using GIS and Remote Sensing Techniques in Antsokia-Gemiza District of North Shewa Zone, Ethiopia," *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7869581.

[19] L. Tian, "Design and Implementation of Financial Service and Management Platform considering Support Vector Machine Algorithm," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7964123.

[20] Y. Xing, "Design and Implementation of Tourism Teaching System Based on Artificial Intelligence Technology," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/5298530.

[21] L. F. Tu, Q. Peng, and R. Deng, "Design and Implementation of Intelligent Control Garbage Bin," *J. Electr. Comput. Eng.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7306548.

[22] G. Shi, "Design and Implementation of IoT Data-Driven Intelligent Law Classroom Teaching System," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8003909.

[23] Y. Pan, Y. Li, and J. Jin, "Design and Implementation of Fully Convolutional Network Algorithm in Landscape Image Processing," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7387363.

[24] X. Yu, K. Wang, and S. Wang, "Implementation and Optimization of Reverse Suspension Structure Design Model Using Deep Learning," *Comput. Intell. Neurosci.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7544113.

[25] A. Kumar, H. Sharma, R. N. Mahia, O. P. Mahela, and B. Khan, "Design and Implementation of Hybrid Transmission Line Protection Scheme Using Signal Processing Techniques," *Int. Trans. Electr. Energy Syst.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7209553.

[26] K. Gui and Z. Yao, "Design and Implementation of Virtual Roaming Control Method Based on Pen Tablet," *Sci. Program.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/8415406.

[27] L. Ashok Kumar, V. Indragandhi, Y. Teekaraman, R. Kuppusamy, and A. Radhakrishnan, "Design and Implementation of Automatic Water Spraying System for Solar Photovoltaic Module," *Math. Probl. Eng.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/7129610.

[28] Z. Hong, W. Du, and H. Wang, "Design and Implementation of Path Planning for Wheel-Track Hybrid Mobile Robot," *Mob. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/6418706.

[29] A. Sharma and P. Aggarwal, "IRI Prediction using Machine Learning Models," *WSEAS Trans. Comput. Res.*, vol. 11, pp. 111–116, 2023, doi: 10.37394/232018.2023.11.10.

[30] T. J. John and R. Nagaraj, "Prediction of floods using improved PCA with one-dimensional convolutional neural network," *Int. J. Intell. Networks*, vol. 4, no. May, pp. 122–129, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ijin.2023.05.004.

[31] Q. Cui, M. Liu, X. Huang, and M. Gao, "Coarse-to-fine visual autonomous unmanned aerial vehicle landing on a moving platform," *Biomim. Intell. Robot.*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 100088, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.birob.2023.100088.

[32] K. Y. Chan *et al.*, "Deep neural networks in the cloud: Review, applications, challenges and research directions," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 545, p. 126327, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2023.126327.

[33] C. Jyoti and Z. Efpraxia, "Understanding and exploring the value co-creation of cloud computing innovation using resource based value theory: An interpretive case study," *J. Bus. Res.*, vol. 164, no. May, p. 113970, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113970.

[34] T. Gaber, J. B. Awotunde, S. O. Folorunso, S. A. Ajagbe, and E. Eldesouky, "Industrial Internet of Things Intrusion Detection Method Using Machine Learning and Optimization Techniques," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2023, 2023, doi: 10.1155/2023/3939895.

[35] T. Shaik, X. Tao, C. Dann, H. Xie, Y. Li, and L. Galligan, "Sentiment analysis and opinion mining on educational data: A survey," *Nat. Lang. Process. J.*, vol. 2, no. October 2022, p. 100003, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.nlp.2022.100003.

[36] M. V. Gopalachari, M. Kolla, R. K. Mishra, and Z. Tasneem, "Design and Implementation of Brain Tumor Segmentation and Detection Using a Novel Woelfel Filter and Morphological Segmentation," *Complexity*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/6985927.

[37] R. Bentivoglio, E. Isufi, S. N. Jonkman, and R. Taormina, "Deep learning methods for flood mapping: a review of existing applications and future research directions," *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, vol. 26, no. 16, pp. 4345–4378, 2022, doi: 10.5194/hess-26-4345-2022.

[38] S. V. S. Ramakrishnam Raju, B. Dappuri, P. Ravi Kiran Varma, M. Yachamaneni, D. M. G. Verghese, and M. K. Mishra, "Design and Implementation of Smart Hydroponics Farming Using IoT-Based AI Controller with Mobile Application System," *J. Nanomater.*, vol. 2022, 2022, doi: 10.1155/2022/4435591.

[39] D. K. Hakim, R. Gernowo, and A. W. Nirwansyah, "Flood prediction with time series data mining: Systematic review," *Nat. Hazards Res.*, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.nhres.2023.10.001.

[40] S. Mistry and F. Parekh, "Flood Forecasting Using Artificial Neural Network," *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, vol. 1086, no. 1, 2022, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/1086/1/012036.